

Open Access

Volume 3(3), 2022

ISSN 2717-4999 (Online) (Print) 2717-4980

#### Assessment of Bird Diversity and Abundance in Karra River Basin, Hetauda, Makawanpur Kanchan Parajuli<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Makawanpur Multiple Campus, Hetauda Email: kanchanparajulimsc@gmail.com

**Citation:** Parajuli, K. (2022). Assessment of bird diversity and abundance in Karra river basin, Hetauda, Makawanpur. *International Research Journal of MMC*, *3*(3), 18–33. <a href="https://doi.org/10.3126/irjmmc.v3i3.48630">https://doi.org/10.3126/irjmmc.v3i3.48630</a>

#### **Abstract**

The main objective to carry out this study was to determine seasonal diversity, abundance, and ongoing threats to birds of the Karra river belt, Hetauda, Nepal. This study is based on observation. The bird survey methods and threat assessment techniques were used to analyse the data. A total of 153 bird species were recorded belonging to 15 orders and 38 families. Order Passeriformes was found dominating order with 70 species. Among 153 species, 98 species were residents, 37 species were winter visitors, 17 species were summer visitors, and one species was found, vagrant. One-way ANOVA revealed that there was a significantly different (F = 0.8872, df = 2, P < 0.0422) in bird diversity in three different blocks in two different seasons. The Shannon winner diversity index shows that the winter season (H=1.86)was more diverse than the summer season (H=1.62). The evenness index showed that birds were evenly distributed in the winter season (E=0.8815) and then summer (E=0.8761). Bird species richness was found high (126) in moderately disturbed land use type (block 2) than other supporting intermediate disturbance hypotheses. Out of 153 birds recorded, 26 were common in their relative abundance. Habitat destruction, pollutionin the industrial belt, mining, overfishing, poaching, and keeping of cage birds, and lack of awareness were the major threats to birds around the study area.

Keywords: abundance, bird diversity, Hetauda, Karra River, threats

#### 1. Introduction

Birds are ecologically important creatures and Nepal is remarkably blessed with prosperous avian diversity. A total of 886 bird species have been recorded in Nepal (DNPWC and BCN 2018). The reason for richness in avian diversity is due to amazingly diverse climatic and topographical variations within the country that have provided a variety of forest and ecosystem types.

Birds occupy an extremely diverse range of niches within riparian systems and are sensitive indicators of environmental conditions (Temple and Wiens, 1989). Riparian habitats are also called as 'ribbons of life' since they are considered among the most productive habitat (Johnson et al. 1977, Chaney et al. 1990). Rivers are the important ecological corridors that play an important role in the life of water-dependent species such as water birds, crustaceans, fishes and herpetofauna (Ambrose et al. 2000, Kopij 2001). Floodplain and riparian habitat is very important water bird breeding habitat and a better understanding of this area is an important research priority (Anthony 1997). Research has shown that riverine zone must meet certain minimum width criteria to provide suitable habitat for most bird species (Fischer 2000). That is why studies on bird diversity and its relation to river width are very essential for bird conservation. Lowland river basin of Nepal is aparadise for many species of flora and fauna. More than half of the birds are found in low land alluvial floodplain of Nepal.

So, this study was carried out to collect baseline data on the species richness, seasonal diversity, relation of river width to bird diversity, and major threats to birds which give an



Open Access

Volume 3(3), 2022

ISSN 2717-4999 (Online) (Print)

2717-4980

important contribution to a better understanding of water birds of Karra River and also provide basic information necessary for the conservation of avifauna of this area.

#### 2. Objectives

The main objective was to explore the seasonal diversity and relative abundance of birds in different land use types around the Karra River. The specific objectives were:

- To explore the seasonal variation in bird diversity around the Karra river
- To compare bird diversity and relative abundance on three different land use type
- To identify major threats and threatened species of Karra river

#### 3. Study Area:

Study area lies in Hetauda Sub-metropolitan city of Makwanpur district which is surrounded bythe Mahabharat range in the north and the Churia range in the south. It is also called Inner Tarai region of Rapti valley and average elevation is 450 m from sea level (UNDP/ERRRP 2009). Climate of Hetauda valley is humid subtropical monsoon. Temperature condition of Hetauda varies from quite hot in summer and warm in winter. The average annual precipitation is little more than 2,200 mm of which about 80% falls during the monsoon period in mid-June to October (UNDP/ERRRP 2009).

Lithologically, the region consists of sandstones, mudstone and conglomerate UNDP/ERRRP (2009) moreover flood plain deposits consist of boulder to sand size sediments of quartzite, gneiss, dolomites and limestone of the lesser Himalayan rocks and sandstone of the Siwalik rocks. Karra River is a small sized perennial river originated from North-eastern Siwalik Hill and flows towards west to join with the Rapti River, near Hetuada city. The Karra River flows towards North-west and receives water from several streams. The flow of the Karra River during the pre-monsoon months is 0.84m³/s and minimum flow was reported to vary within 0.65 and 0.75 m³/s during April-May (Pradhanang, 2010). It is polluted river of Makawanpur district. The study shows that upstream of the River water sample is good but as it enters the industrial belt, it gets loaded with pollutants mainly from industrial effluent (Pradhanang, 2010).




Fig 1: Study area

#### 4. Materials and Methods:

#### 4.1. Bird survey methods:

Line transect methods are highly adaptable and efficient methods for surveying birds of extensive open habitats, e.g. shrub-steppe and moorland, offshore seabirds, and water birds (Sutherland 2006). Therefore, line transect methods were used for recording the birds that





*Volume 3*(3), 2022

ISSN 2717-4999 (Online) (Print) 2717-4980

have been seen or heard on either side of the river. Only the birds observed in front or on either side of the observer were recorded, those behind were not. To minimize the disturbance, line transects were walked aloneat a constant speed. Care was taken to avoid double-counting mobile species like aerial foragers, raptors, etc. In each sharp turn of the river, each new direction was treated as a new start to the next transect.

Birds were observed within the months of December/January (winter) and May/June 2015 (summer) during the study. Birds were observed from 7:00 am to 10:00 am in the morning. Three days were spent in each block and 27 hours were spent in each season in the field. Only the bird heard and seen within the band up to 50m on either side of the transect were recorded. Bird censuses were not carried out on rainy, windy, and cloudy days to avoid biases due to changes in the intensity of bird activities.

Bushnell binoculars of magnification 10×40 were used for confirming identification and Canon camera 50X for photography. Birds were identified by using field guide books of Birds of Nepal (Grimmet et al. 2003). Unknown photographs and calls were recorded and identified later with the help of a bird expert in Kathmandu.

The statuses of residential and migratory birds, terrestrial and aquatic birds, were assessed with the help of a field guidebook of birds of Nepal.

#### 4.2. Threat Assessment

Based on the preliminary survey, possible threats were categorized into five major types of habitat fragmentation and degradation, industrial and household effluents, poaching, fishing, and mining. Extents of threats were identified by counting the total sites where the sign of each threat type was observed. Threats like grazing; cutting trees, fire, etc. were categorized in the title Habitat fragmentation and degradation. Similarly, other threats were also categorized in respective threat titles.


The category of threatened birds' status was identified with the help of the IUCN and CITES threat categories. It was categorized as critically endangered, endangered, vulnerable, near threatened, and to respective CITES appendix.

#### 4.3. Data analysis

All the collected data were enteredintoan excel sheet and analyzed using appropriate statistical tools. Shannon Weiner's diversity index was used to calculate the species diversity of a particular area which is calculated as:H' =  $-\Sigma$  (ni /N) log (ni/N) Or, if Pi= ni/N. Where, H = Index of species diversity, Pi = the proportion of individuals in the i<sup>th</sup>species, ni = Importance value for each species (number of individuals), N = Total importance value (Total number of individuals).

To calculate whether species were distributed evenly across seasons and across different land use types, an evenness index was used. It was determined by the equation,  $E=H'/\log S$ . Where H'=Shannon-Wiener's diversity index, S=Species richness is the total number of species.

The relative abundance of avian species was determined by using encounter rates that give crude ordinal scales of abundance (abundant, common, frequent, uncommon, and rare) (Bibbyet al. 1992, 1998). The encounter rate was calculated for each species by dividing the number of birds recorded by the number of hours spent searching, in order to get a figure of birds per hour for each species. These were categorized in crude ordinal scales of abundance as follows:



2717-4980

## International Research Journal of MMC (IRJMMC)

MMC

*Volume 3*(3), 2022

ISSN 2717-4999 (Online) (Print)

**Table 1** Using encounter rates to give a crude ordinal scale of abundance

	G		0		J
Abundance	category	(number	of	Abundance score	Ordinal scale
individuals/10	field hours)				
<0.1				1	Rare
0.1 - 2.0				2	Uncommon
2.1 - 10.0				3	Frequent
10.1 - 40.0				4	Common
40.0 +				5	Abundant

Source: (Lowen et al. 1996)
5. Result and Discussion

#### 5.1. Seasonal diversity andvariationof birds of Karra River

A total of 153 species of birds were recorded from Karra River belonging to 15 orders and 38 families (Appendices 1). Order Passeriformes has the highest diversity (70 species from 11 families) followed by Ciconiforme (23 species and five families), Coraciiform (ten species and five families), Falconiformes (nine species and three families), Cuculiform and Anseriforme (eight species in each family), Pisiforms (four species and two families), Psittaciforme (four species and one family), Columbiforme (four species and one family), Strigiform (three species and one family), Gruiforme (two species and one family), Galliforme (two species and one family), Apodiforme (two species and one family), Pelecaniforme (two species and one family) and Upipiforme one species and one family (Appendices 3). Similarly, the family Corvidae had a higher number of bird species (17).


Significant variation in diversity (F = 0.8429, df= 2, P < 0.04316) was found between summer and winter seasons with one hundred thirty species in winter seasons belonging to 15 orders and 38 families and seventy-four species in summer seasons belonging to 14 orders and 28 families. Shannon winner diversity index shows that the winter season (H=1.86) had a more diverse bird assemblage than the summer season (H=1.62) (Table: 3). Evenness index also showed that birds were more evenly distributed in the winter season (E=0.8815) than summer (E=0.87).

Among 153 species, 64% species of birds were resident, 24% of species were winter visitor, 10% species were summer visitor, and the remaining 2% was irregular visitor (Appendices 1). Among resident birds, Common Myna, Plain Martin, Grey-breasted Prinia, Cattle Egret, House Crow, Asian Pied Starling, Red-vented Bulbul, Pied Bushchat, Indian Pond Heron, Common Tailorbird, Spotted Dove, Rose-ringed Parakeet, Plum-headed Parakeet, and Blue Rock Pigeon were very common birds in all three blocks in both seasons with more than 100 individuals in each species (Appendice1). Most of the migratory birds were wetland birds like Ruddy Shelduck, Bar-headed Goose, Mallard, Garganey, Common Merganser, Cotton Pigmy Goose, Eurasian Wigeon, Greater Cormorant, Common Greenshank, Common Sandpiper, Common Snipe, Grey-headed Lapwing, Little-ringed Plover, Common Coot, Common Moorhen, Striated Heron, Cinnamon Bittern, Black Stork, Pallas's Fish Eagle, Osprey, White-capped Water Redstart, Plumbeous Water Redstart, Black-capped Kingfisher, etc. Fifty-three of the total migratory birds were wetland birds.



*Volume 3*(3), 2022

ISSN 2717-4999 (Online) (Print) 2717-4980



**Figure 2:** Migration Status of Birds recorded in graph shows the Karra River, Hetauda of species seen during winter seasons.

**Figure 3:** Species discovery curve: the cumulative total number the summer and

The species discovery curve showed that the frequency of adding new birds to the list was more in the winter season than summer season (fig 3). Curves show a rapid rise in the winter season and a slow rise in the summer season. At the start of the field survey, every species recorded was new species and as the time spent increased, fewer new species were recorded. The curve is not saturated and still rising upward. So there are still chances to record new birds.

#### 5.2. Bird diversities and relative abundance in different land use types

Out of 153 bird species, 26 were common in its relative abundance with encounter rates 10-40, 49 species were frequent with encounter rates 2-10, 73 species were uncommon with encounter rates 0.1-2 and one species- Common Myna (encounter rate- 47.77) were found abundant.


Bird abundance was higher during winter season than summer season. Out of 130 winter species, 41 species were uncommon, 50 species were frequent, 35 species were common and four species were abundant (Figure 5). Common Stonechat, Pied Bushchat, Common Myna and Grey-breasted Prinia were more abundant during winter season (Appendices 1). Out of 74 summer species, 24 species were uncommon, 24 species were frequent, 25 species were common and one species Plain Martin (43.70) was abundant (Figure 5).

Among three different blocks, block 2 was found more diverse and abundant than other blocks (Table 2) which were moderately disturbed land use types with assorted vegetation types. The intermediate disturbance hypothesis also claims that higher species richness is found in moderately disturbed habitats. The presence mixed vegetation types in block 2 with patchy woody vegetation, grasslands, fruiting trees around the settlements, and farmlands might have supported a large number of birds. The heterogeneous vegetation cover in the disturbed habitat might provide various prey species for insectivorous birds and also provide more niches and diverse way of exploiting environmental resources thus increasing species diversity (Bazzaz, 1975).



Volume 3(3), 2022

ISSN 2717-4999 (Online) (Print) 2717-4980



**Figure 4:** Pie chart showing percentage of Local **Figure 5:** status of local abundant category of bird species abundance status of birds between two seasons

**Table 2** Status of birds in winter and summer season with their diversity index and evenness index

macx							
Seasons	Block	Family	Order	Number of	Number of	Shannon's	Evenness
				species	individuals	index	index
	-				<b>60</b> 0	1.50	0.000
summer	B1			53	638	1.59	0.929
	B2	20		63	731	1.62	0.909
	В3	28	1,4	43	624	1.48	0.911
			14				
winter	B1			75	964	1.74	0.931
	B2	20	1.5	100	1186	1.81	0.906
	В3	38	15	71	897	1.72	0.920

Forty-eight species (1034 individual) of aquatic and 105 species (4006 individuals) of terrestrial birds were recorded. Shannon winner diversity index showed that terrestrial species were more diverse (H=1.723) than aquatic (H=1.2988). Many aquatic species including winter migratory ducks were observed in block 2 and 3. Some rare aquatic raptor like Pallas's Fish Eagle and Osprey were recorded in block 2 near Fisheries development center, Hetauda (FDCH). Cormorant and whistling duck were found common around the Kampadanda site of Block 2 which was near to FDCH. Single Black Bittern were also observed inside the dense grasslands at the river edge on block 2. Siberian duck like Ruddy Shelduck, Common Merganser, Bar-headed Goose, Mallard, and Eurasian Wigeon were observed in downstream of Block 3 although upstream of block 3 was highly disturbed and less diverse. Some birds like Cotton Pigmy Goose, Black-capped Kingfisher, Red-breasted Parakeet, Black Stork, Lesser Adjutant Stork, Striated Heron, Oriental Honey Buzzard, Collared Falconet, Greybilled Cuckoo, Common Moorhen and Large Wood Shrike were recorded only from block 1 showing their elusive nature and indicator of good ecosystem. Similarly, bird species richness was found high in the area where the river width is buffered with good vegetation structure. Vegetation at the edge of river protect water quality and provide good habitat for plant and animals and also provide corridors for movement of wild life from one habitat to other.

#### 5.3. Major threats to Karra's birds

Habitat fragmentation and degradation were found as serious threats to avian community in all block. Block 3 was seriously affected which lies in industrial belt. Industrial and household effluents was high in block three, low in block two and no any effluents were




Open Access

*Volume 3*(3), 2022

ISSN 2717-4999 (Online) (Print) 2717-4980

observed in block one. Poaching activities were observed in all blocks however it was high in block two and three. Local ethnic group mainly below the age of twenty were found engaged in poaching by using catapult. Mining was found high in block 3 than other block. Mining activities includes trade of sand, soil, gravel etc. Many people were found involved in fishing by using net, electricity, dhadiya, etc. fishing were high in block three in comparison to other block. Overfishing leads to mark decline in fish which poses serious threats to all fish-eating birds such as Pallas's Fish Eagle, Black-bellied Tern, Indian Skimmer, and Tawny Fish Owl (BCN and DNPWC 2011), so the Karra river is definitely not away from the problem.

The upstream belt of the Karra river habitat is good for birds but as it enters the industrial belt, it gets loaded with industrial effluents, household effluents and other dumped pollutants from the urban site posing serious threats to aquatic birds.



**Figure 5:** Chart showing extent of threats in each block which show number of sign observed in each threats title in all block



**Figure 6:** *Threats observed in Karra's Birds.* 

1. Plum-headed Parakeet in cage. 2. People fishing in the river 3. Mining of sand and gravel

Sixteen nationally threatened bird species and sixteen species of birds listed in CITES category II were recorded. Among sixteen species of nationally threatened birds, two were globally threatened (Pallas's fish Eagle and Lesser Adjutant Stork) (Table 3).



Open Access

*Volume 3*(3), 2022

ISSN 2717-4999 (Online) (Print) 2717-4980

**Table 3**: Status of threatened birds on the basis of National Red List Series 2015 and CITES category. Regionally Extinct (RE), Threatened (Critically Endangered (CR), Endangered (EN) and Vulnerable (VU)) and Near Threatened (NT).

RE	CR	EN	VU	NT	CITES category
	Pallas's Fish	Black	Red-breasted	Bar-headed	Common Kestrel II
	Eagle	Bittern	Parakeet	Goose	
			Lesser Adjutant	Alexandrine	Collared Falconet II
				Parakeet	
			Gargany	Greater	Common Buzzard II
				Cormorent	
			Cotton-pigmy	Ruddy Shelduck	Creasted Serpent
			Goose		Eagle II
			Black Stork	Collared	Pallas's Fish Eagle
				Falconet	II
			Asian Openbill	Yellow-bellied	Black Kite II
				Prinia	
				Plain Martin	Black-shouldered
					Kite II
				Baya Weaver	Osprey II
					Spotted Owlet II
					Jungle Owlet II
					Asian Barred Owlet
					II
					Black Stork II
					Red-breasted
					Parakeet II
					Plum-headed
					Parakeet II
					Alexandrine
					Parakeet II
					Rose-ringed
					Parakeet II

#### 6. Conclusion and Recommendations

The study area was found rich in avian diversity. Variations of bird diversity were observed in different seasons in different land use types. Bird diversity and abundance were found significantly affected by different land use types and vegetation. Block two which contain assorted vegetation types with moderately disturbed habitatswere found highly diverse supporting the intermediate disturbance hypothesis as compared to another block.

Bird species richness was found high in the area where river width is buffered with good vegetation structure. 64 percent of the total bird recorded residents, 24 percent of the total birds recorded were winter visitors and 10 percent were summer visitors. In addition, from the 154 identifiedbird species, Common Myna (*Acridotherestristis*) was found numerically the most abundant bird species with an abundance scale of 47.78, indicating an adaptation of the species to different types of habitat.

The data clearly shows that the region is a good wintering ground for many winter migratory birds including Siberian ducks and furthermore the record of critically endangered

#### Open Access

# International Research Journal of MMC (IRJMMC)

*Volume 3*(3), 2022

ISSN 2717-4999 (Online) (Print)

2717-4980

bird-likePallas's Fish Eagle and least sighting birds like Black-capped Kingfisher has expanded its importance. The region is also a good habitat with pristine vegetation covering with few exception and farmlands along the belt supports good number of resident and summer migratory birds. Habitat fragmentation and degradation, pollution at the industrial belt, overfishing, poaching, mining and lack of awareness were the major threats to birds around the study area. Based on this research, following recommendation were made which will be useful for the conservation and further study of avian fauna of Karra river belt, Hetauda.

- To encourage a diverse avian community along riparian habitat, buffer strip of vegetation should be maintained on both side of river. Buffer strip should be as wide as possible and relatively free from human land use that potentially impacts on birds. Landowner /farmer along the edge of the river should be encouraged to replant and protect tree.
- Waste water from industry and household effluents should be treated effectively before discharging out to river. There is an urgent need of Strict policy and monitoring from the government sector for effluent discharge from industry and household into the river
- Poaching, keeping of cage birds, overfishing, and use of pesticides should be controlled and an awareness program about the importance of birds should be launched for longterm conservation of birds.

#### References

- Anthony, S. 1997. Relationship between water bird ecology and river flow in the Murray-Darling Basin. Technical report 5/97. CSIRO Land and Water.
- Ambrose, D., Talukdar, S. and Pomela, E.M. 2000. Biological Diversity in Lesotho: A Country Study. Maseru: National Environment Secretariat.
- BCN and DNPWC. 2011. The State of Nepal's Birds 2010. Bird Conservation Nepal and Department of National Parks and Wildlife Conservation, Kathmandu.
- Bibby, C.J., Collar, N.B., Crosby, M.J., Heath, M.F., Imboden, C., Jonston, T.H., Long, A.J., Satterfield, A.J. and Thirgood, S.J. 1992. Putting Biodiversity on the Map: Priority area for Global Conservation Barrington Press, Cambridge 239 pp.
- Bazzaz, F.A.1975. Plant species diversity in old-field successional ecosystems in southern Illinois. Ecology, 56, 485–488.
- Chaney, E., Elmore, W. and Platt, S. 1990.Livestock grazing on western riparian areas. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Washington, DC, USA.
- Department of National Parks and Wildlife Conservation and Bird Conservation Nepal (2018). Birds of Nepal: An Official Checklist, Kathmandu, Nepal.
- Fischer, R.A. 2000.Width of riparian zones for birds. EMRRP Technical Notes Collection (TN EMRRP-SI-09), U.S Army Engineer Research and Development Center, Vicksburg, MS. www.wes.army.mil/el/emmrp.
- Grimmet, R., Inskipp, C. and Inskipp, T. 2000. Birds of Nepal, Helm Field Guide. Prakash Books, New Delhi.
- Inskipp, C., Baral, H.S., Phuyal, S., Bhatt, T.R., Khatiwada, M., Inskipp, T, Khatiwada, A., Gurung, S., Singh,
- P.B., Murray L., Poudyal L. and Amin R. 2016. The status of Nepal's Birds: The national red list series. Zoological Society of London, UK.
- Johnson, R.R., Haight, L.T., and Simpson, J.M. 1977. "Endangered Species vs. Endangered habitat: A concept,
- "importance, preservation, and management of riparian habitat: A symposium USDA for. Serv. Gen. Tech. Rep. RM-43, R.R. Johnson and D.A Jones, tech. cords. 68-79.



Open Access

*Volume 3*(3), 2022

ISSN 2717-4999 (Online) (Print)

- Kopij, G. 2001. "Areas Proposed for Environmental Education and Biodiversity Conservation in Maseru District, Lesotho." In Environmental Education for Sustainable Development: African Perspectives, edited by T. Mokuku, L. Bitso, and A. F. Lana, 150–167. Roma: National University of Lesotho.
- Pradhanang, S. 2010. Industrial effluents from the Hetauda Industrial District and the impaired water quality of Karra River, Nepal.Ph.D. Dissertation of University of Delhi, India.
- Sutherland, W.J. 2006. Ecological Census Techniques: Handbook 2nd ed. Cambridge University Press, 324 p.
- Temple, S.A, and Wien's, J.A. 1989. Bird populations and environmental changes: can birds be bio-indicators? American Birds 43: 260-270
- UNDP/ERRRP. 2009. Earthquake Vulnerability Profile and Preparedness Plan of Hetauda Municipality edited by A. Joshi. Kathmandu: United Nations Development Programme/Earthquake Risk Reduction and Recovery Preparedness Programme for Nepal



Open Access

Volume 3(3), 2022

ISSN 2717-4999 (Online) (Print)

2717-4980

#### **Appendices 1**

#### 1. Checklist of bird species recorded during field visit

S. N	C.N	Scientific name	No	Abu.	L. Abu .C	W V	SV	CS	M.S	Habitat
	Order: Galliformes									
	Family: Phasinadae									
1	Black Francolin	Francolinusfrancolinu s	38	7.037	F	+	+	LC	R	Т
	Red-jungle Fowl	Gallus gallus	7	1.296	U	+	+	LC	R	Т
	Anseriformes									
	Anatidae									
3	Bar-headed Goose	Anserindicus	1	0.185	U	+		NT	WV/PM	A
4	Cotton Pigmy Goose	Nettapuscoromandelia nus	1	0.185	U		+	VU	SV	A
5	Ruddy Shelduck	Tadornaferrogenia	12	2.22	F	+		NT	WV	A
6	Eurasian Wigeon	Anaspenelope	5	0.925	U	+		LC	WV/PM	A
7	Mallard	Anasplatyrhynchos	3	0.56	U	+		LC	WV/PM	A
8	Garganey	Anasquerquedula	4	0.74	U	+	+	VU	PM/RWV	A
9	Common Merganser	Mergus merganser	6	1.1	U	+		LC	WV	A
	Dendrosygnidae									
10	Lesser Whistling Duck	Dendrocygnajavanica	20	3.7	F	+		LC	R	A
	Piciformes									
	Picidae									
11	Rufous Woodpecker	Celeusbrachyurus	3	0.56	U	+	+	LC	R	T
12	Grey-capped Pigmy Woodpecker	Dendrocoposcanicapil lus	2	0.37	U		+	LC	R	T
	Megalaimidae									
13	Great Barbet	Megalaimavirens	2	0.37	U	+		LC	R	T
14	Coppersmith Barbet	Megalaimahaemaceph ala	13	2.407	F	+	+	LC	R	Т
	Upupiformes									
	Upupidae									
15	Common Hoopoe	Upupaepops	31	5.74	F	+	+	LC	R	T



Open Access

Volume 3(3), 2022

ISSN 2717-4999 (Online) (Print)

	Coraciiformes									
	Coraciidae									
16	Indian Roller	Coraciousbenngalensi s	51	9.45	F	+	+	LC	R	T
	Alcedinidae									
17	Common Kingfisher	Aledo atthis	23	4.259	F	+		LC	R	A
	Decelonidae									
18	Stork-billed Kingfisher	Pelargopsiscapensis	2	0.37	U	+		LC	R	A
19	White-throated Kingfisher	Halcyon smyrnensis	67	12.41	С	+	+	LC	R	A/T
20	Black-capped Kingfisher	Halcyon pileata	2	0.37	U	+	+	LC	IV	A
	Cerylidae									
21	Creasted Kingfisher	Megacerylelugubris	2	0.37	U	+		LC	R	A
22	Pied Kingfisher	Cerylerudis	10	1.851	U	+		LC	R	A
	Meropidae									
23	Green Bee-eater	Meropsorientalis	53	9.81	F	+	+	LC	R/SV	T
24	Blue-tailed Bee- eater	Meropsphilippinus	47	8.703	F		+	LC	SV	T
25	Chestnut-headed Bee-eater	Merops leschenaultia	33	6.11	F		+	LC	SV	T
	Cuculiformes									
	Cuculidae									
26	Pied Cuckoo	Clamatorjacobinus	2	0.37	U		+	LC	SV	T
27	Common Hawk Cuckoo	Hierococcyxvarius	10	1.85	U	+	+	LC	R/SV	T
28	Grey-bellied Cuckoo	Cacomantispasserinus	1	0.18	U	+		LC	SV	T
29	Banded Bay Cuckoo	Cacomantissonneratii	2	0.3703			+	LC	R/SV	T
30	Oriental Cuckoo	Cuculussaturatus	5	0.92	U		+	LC	SV	T
31	Asian Koel	Eudynamysscolopacea	15	2.78	F	+	+	LC	R/SV	T
	Centropodidae									
32	Greater Coucal	Centropussinensis	22	4.074	F	+	+	LC	R	T
33	Lesser Coucal Psittaciformes	Centropusbengalensis	2	0.37	U	+		LC	R/SV	T
	Psittacidae									
34	Alexandrine Parakeet II	Psittaculaeupatria	37	6.85	F	+		NT	R	T
35	Rose-ringed Parakeet II	Psittaculakrameri	114	21.1	С	+	+	LC	R	T
36	Plum-headed Parakeet II	Psittaculacyanocephal	112	20.74		+	+	LC	R	T
37	Red-breasted Paakeet II	Psittaculaalexandri	27	5		+		VU	R	Т
	Apodiformes									
	Apodidae			0						
		t control of the cont		1	1	1	1	1	1	1



Open Access

Volume 3(3), 2022

#### ISSN 2717-4999 (Online) (Print)

	Hemiprocnidae								
39	CreastedTreeswift	Hemiprocnecoronata	7	1.296	U	+	LC	R	T

	Strigiformes									
	Strigidae									
40	Asian Barred Owlet II	Glaucidiumcuculoides	4	0.74	U	+		LC	R	T
41	Jungle Owlet II	Glaucidiumradiatum	2	0.37	U	+		LC	R	T
42	Spotted Owlet II	Athenebrama	2	0.37	U	+	+	LC	R	T
	Columbiformes									
	Columbidae									
43	Rock Pigeon	Columba livia	112	20.74	С	+	+	LC	R	T
44	Oriental Turtle Dove	Streptopeliaorientalis	55	10.18	С	+		LC	R/WV	T
45	Spotted Dove	Streptopeliachinensis	118	21.85	С	+	+	LC	R	T
46	Eurasian Collared Dove	Stretopeliadecaocto	16	2.962	F	+		LC	R	T
	Gruiformes									
	Rallidae									
47	White-breasted Waterhen	Amaurornisphoenicurus	28	5.185	F	+	+	LC	R	A
48	Common Moorhen	Gallinulachloropus	6	1.1	U	+		LC	R/SV	A
49	Common Coot	Fulicaatra	5	0.925	U	+		LC	WV/PM	A
	Ciconiiformes									
	Scolopacidae									
50	Common Snipe	Gallinagogallinago	50	9.259	F	+	+	LC	WV/PM	A
51	Common Greenshank	Tringanebularia	28	5.185	F	+		LC	WV/PM	A
52	Common Sandpiper	Actitishypoleucos	68	12.59	С	+	+	LC	WV/PM	A
53	Common Redshank	Tringa tetanus	5	0.92	U	+		LC	WV/PM	A
54	Green Sandpiper	Tringaochropus	3	0.56	U	+		LC	WV/PM	A
55	Little Stint	Calidris alba	3	0.56	U		+	LC	WV/PM	A
	Ardeidae									-+
56	Little Egret	Egrettagarzetta	98	18.14	С	+	+	LC	R	A
57	Cattle Egret	Bubulcus ibis	179	33.14	С	+	+	LC	R	T
58	Intermediate Egret	Mesophoyxintermedia	8	1.48	F	+		LC	R	A
59	Great Egret	Casmerodiusalbus	3	0.56	U	+		LC	R	A
60	Striated Heron	Butoridesstriatus	1	0.185	U		+	LC	SV/R	A
61	Grey Heron	Ardeacinerea	4	0.74	U	+	+	LC	WV/RR	A
62	Indian Pond Heron	Ardeolagrayii	132	24.45	С	+	+	LC	R	A
63	Cinnamon Bittern	Ixobrychuscinnamomeus	1	0.185	U		+	LC	SV/R	A
64	Black Bittern	Dupetorflavicollis	1	0.185	U		+	EN	R	A
	Threskiornithidae									
65	Black Ibis	Pseudibispapillosa	20	3.7	F	+		LC	R	A



Volume 3(3), 2022

ISSN 2717-4999 (Online) (Print)

	Ciconiidae									
66	Asian Openbill	Anastomusoscitans	41	7.59	F	+		VU	R	A
67	Black Stork	Ciconianigra	2	0.37	U	+		VU	WV/PM	A
68	Lesser Adjutant	Leptoptilosjavanicus	3	0.56	U	+		VU	R	A
	Charadriidae									
69	Little-ringed Plover	Charadriusdubius	32	5.925	F	+		LC	R/WV	A
70	River Lapwing	Vanellusduvaucelii	6	1.11	U	+		NT	R	A
71	Grey-headed Lapwing	Vanelluscinereus	23	4.25	F	+		LC	WV/PM	A
72	Red-wattled Lapwing	Vanellusindicus	32	5.92	F	+		LC	R	A
	Falconiformes									
	Pandionidae									
73	Osprey II	Pandionhaliaetus	2	0.37	U	+		LC	WV/PM	A
	Accipitridae									
74	Black-shouldered Kite II	Elanuscaeruleus	5	0.926	U		+	LC	R	T
75	Black Kite II	Milvusmigrans	28	5.185	F	+	+	LC	R	T
76	Pallas's Fish Eagle II*	Haliaeetusleucoryphus	1	0.185	U	+		CR	WV	A
77	Creasted-serpent Eagle	Spilornischeela	10	1.85	U	+		LC	R	T
78	Oriental Honey-buzzard	Pernisptilorhyncus	3	0.56	U	+	+	LC	R/PM	T
79	Common Buzzard II	Buteobuteo	13	2.407	F	+		LC	WV/PM	T
	Falconidae									
80	Common Kestrel II	Falco tinnunculus	24	4.45	F	+		LC	R/WV/PM	Т
81	Collared Falconet II	Microhieraxcaerulescens	1	0.185	U		+	NT	R	T
	Pelecaniformes									
	Phalacrocoracidae									
82	Little Cormorent	Phalacrocoraxniger	9	1.67	U	+		LC	WV/PM	A
83	Greater Cormorent	Phalacrocoraxcarbo	20	3.703	F	+		NT	WV	A
	Passeriformes									
	Laniidae									
84	Brown Shrike	Laniusisabellinus	4	0.74	U	+		LC	WV	T
85	Long-tailed Shrike	Laniusschach	54	10	F	+	+	LC	R	T
86	Grey-backed Shrike	Laniustephronotus	24	4.45	F	+		LC	R	T
	Corvidae									
87	Red-billed Blue Magpie	Urocissaflavirostris	4	0.74	U	+		LC	R	T
88	RufousTreepie	Dendrocittavagabunda	6	4.81	F	+	+	LC	R	T
89	House Crow	Corvussplendens	172	31.85	С	+	+	LC	R	T
90	Large -billed Crow	Corvusmacrorhynchos	88	16.29	С	+	+	LC	R	T
91	Ashy Woodswallow	Artamusfuscus	15	2.78	F		+	LC	R	T
92	Eurasian Golden Oriole	Oriolusoriolus	8	1.481	U		+	LC	SV	T
93	Black-hooded Oriole	Oriolusxanthornus	16	2.96	F	+	+	LC	R	T
94	Large Cuckooshrike	Coracinamacei	25	4.62	F	+	+	LC	R	T
95	Scarlet Minivet	Pericrocotusflammeus	5	0.926	U	+		LC	R	T
96	White-throated Fantail	Rhipiduraalbicollis	3	0.56	U	+		LC	R	T
97	Black Drongo	Dicrurusmacrocerus	115	21.29	С	+	+	LC	R	Т



*Volume 3*(3), 2022

ISSN 2717-4999 (Online) (Print)

98	Ashy Drongo	Dicrurusleucophaeus	21	3.89	F	+	+	LC	R	Т
99	White-bellied Drongo	Dicruruscaerulescens	15	2.78	F	+	+	LC	R	T
100	Bronzed Drongo	Dicrurusaeneus	10	1.852	U	+		LC	R	Т
101	Spangled Drongo	Dicrurushottentottus	3	0.56	U	+		LC	R	T
102	Greater Racket-tailed Drongo	Dicrurusparadiseus	6	1.11	U	+		LC	R	T
103	Asian Paradise Flycatcher	Terpsiphone paradise	8	1.481	U		+	LC	SV	T
104	Large Woodshrike	Tephrodornisgularis	3	0.56	U		+	LC	R	Т
	Muscicapidae									
105	Orange-headed Thrush	Zoothera citrine	2	0.37	U		+	LC	SV	T
106	Grey-headed Canary	Culicicapaceylonensis	10	1.851	U	+		LC	PM	T
	Flycatcher	• •								
107	Pale-chinned Flycatcher	Cyornispoligenys	12	2.22	F	+	+	LC	R	T
108	Blue-throated Flycatcher	Cyornisrubeculoides	3	0.56	U		+	LC	SV/PM	T
109	Taiga Flycatcher	Muscicapidaeficedula	17	3.148	F	+		LC	WV/PM	T
110	Rufous-gorgetted Flycatcher	Ficedulastrophiata	5	0.925	U	+		LC	R	T
111	Bluethroat	Lusciniasvecica	13	2.407	F		+	LC	WV/PM	T
112	White-capped Water Redstart	Chaimarrornisleucoceph alus	5	0.925	U	+		LC	WV	A
113	Plumbeous Water Redstart	Rhyacornisfuliginosus	16	2.962	F	+		LC	WV	A
114	Black-backed Forktail	Enicurusimmaculatus	3	0.56	U	+		LC	R	T
115	Oriental Magpie Robin	Copsychussaularis	47	8.703	F	+	+	LC	R	T
116	Common Stonechat	Saxicolatorquata	169	31.29	С	+	+	LC	R/WV/PM	T
117	Pied Bushchat	Saxicolacapratta	142	26.29	С	+	+	LC	R	T
	Sturnidae									
118	Chestnut-tailed Starling	Sturnusmalabaricus	12	2.22	F	+		LC	R	T
119	Common Myna	Acridotherestristis	258	47.78	A	+	+	LC	R	T
120	Jungle Myna	Acridotheresfuscus	93	17.22	С	+	+	LC	R	T
121	Asian Pied Starling	Sturnus contra	163	30.18	С	+	+	LC	R	Т
	Paridae									
122	Great Tit	Parus major	6	1.11	U		+	LC	R	T
	Hirundinidae									
123	Plain Martin	Riapriapaludicola	195	36.11	С	+	+	NT	R	A
124	Red-rumped Swallow	Hirundodaurica	44	8.148	F	+		LC	R	Т
	Pycnonotidae									
125	Red-vented Bulbul	Pycnonotuscafer	143	26.48	С	+	+	LC	R	T
126	Himalayan Bulbul	Pycnonotusleucogenys	7	1.296	U	+		LC	R	T
	Cisticolidae									
127	Grey-breasted Prinia	Priniahodgsonii	180	33.33	С	+	+	LC	R	Т
128	Yellow-bellied Prinia	Priniaflaviventris	3	0.56	U	+		LC	R	Т
	Sylviidae									
129	Common Tailorbird	Orthotomussutorius	120	22.22	С	+	+	LC	R	T
130	ZittingCisticola	Cisticolajuncidis	87	16.11	С	+	+	LC	R	Т
ı	_	•	I	1	İ	l	1	ı	1	1



Open Access

Volume 3(3), 2022

#### ISSN 2717-4999 (Online) (Print)

131	Brownish-flanked Bush Warbler	Cettiapallidipes	36	6.67	F	+		LC	R	T
132	Common Chiffchaff	Phylloscopuscollybita	40	7.407	F	+		LC	WV	T
133	Smoky Warbler	Phylloscopusfuligiventer	5	0.925	U	+		LC	R	T
134	Lemon-rumped Warbler	Phylloscopuschloronotus	6	1.11	U	+		LC	R	T
135	Dusky Warbler	Phylloscopusfuscatus	37	6.85	F	+		LC	WV	T
136	Grey-hooded Warbler	Phylloscopus xanthoschistos	5	0.92	U	+		LC	R	T
137	Striped Tit Babbler	Macronousgularis	7	1.296	U	+		LC	R	T
138	Jungle Babbler	Turdoidesstriatus	25	4.629	F	+		LC	R	T
139	Red-billed Leiothrix	Leiothirxlutea	4	0.74	U	+		LC	R	T
	Alaudidae									
140	Bengal Bushlark	Mirafraassamica	75	13.89	С	+		LC	R	T
141	Ashy-crowned Sparrow Lark	Eremopterixgrisea	9	1.67	U	+		LC	R	T
	Passeridae									
142	House Sparrow	Passer domesticus	55	10.19	С	+	+	LC	R	T
143	Eurasian Tree Sparrow	Passer montanus	5	0.925	U	+		LC	R	T
144	White-browed Wagtail	Motacillamaderaspatens is	69	12.78	С	+	+	`	R	T
145	Grey Wagtail	Motacillacinerea	21	3.89	F	+		LC	R	A
146	White Wagtail	Motacilla alba	4	0.74	U	+		LC	WV/PM	A
147	Rosy Pipit	Anthusroseatus	6	1.1	U	+		LC	R/PM	T
148	Olive-backed Pipit	Anthushodgsoni	36	6.67	F	+	+	LC	R/WV	T
149	Paddyfield Pipit	Anthusrufulus	64	11.86	С	+		LC	R	T
150	Richards Pipit	Anthusrichardi	6	1.1	U	+		LC	WV/PM	T
151	White-rumpedMunia	Lonchurastriata	6	1.1	U		+	LC	R	T
152	Scaly-breasted Munia	Lonchurapunctulata	30	5.56	F	+	+	LC	R	T
153	Baya Weaver	Ploceusphilippinus	53	9.81	F	+	+	NT	R	T
	Total		5040							